

Topic Introduction

Ion Channels: History, Diversity, and Impact

Stephan Brenowitz,^{1,4} Ian Duguid,^{2,4} and Paul J. Kammermeier^{3,4}

¹Janelia Research Campus, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Ashburn, Virginia 20147; ²Centre for Integrative Physiology, Edinburgh Medical School: Biomedical Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH8 9XD, United Kingdom; ³Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York 14642

From patch-clamp techniques to recombinant DNA technologies, three-dimensional protein modeling, and optogenetics, diverse and sophisticated methods have been used to study ion channels and how they determine the electrical properties of cells.

THE HISTORY OF ION CHANNELS

The modern era of studying electrical properties of excitable cells began in earnest in the 1930s. It was then that our understanding of how cells produce electrical potentials began to emerge and with it, an understanding of how bioelectric changes could be utilized to perform important cellular functions such as conveying information. As is often the case, technological advances helped drive scientific understanding. By the 1940s, Kenneth Cole and George Marmont had begun to develop the voltage clamp technique, wherein the membrane potential of a (large) cell could be measured and controlled, leading to the earliest descriptions of the electrical properties of membranes and the conductances that underlie neuronal action potentials. Soon after, Alan Hodgkin and Andrew Huxley refined the technique to discover that the action potential was not simply a relaxation of the membrane potential to zero, as had been previously thought, but constituted an overshoot of the membrane potential to positive potentials. Further, they discovered that the depolarizing phase of the action potential was due to sodium flux into the cell, while the repolarization back to the resting membrane potential was due to potassium efflux. It was for these findings that they, along with Sir John Eccles, received the 1963 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine.

Thus began a long exploration of the mechanisms underlying the electrical properties of cells. During this time, competing ideas emerged to explain the discoveries of Hodgkin and Huxley. The first was that the plasma membrane itself changed confirmation to become selectively permeable to sodium, then to potassium. The alternative hypothesis, championed notably by Bertil Hille and Clay Armstrong during the 1960s, was that selective pores were formed by proteins in the membrane to facilitate the passage of ions into and into and out of the cell (T Begenesich, pers. comm.). Further, because of the observation that compounds like tetrodotoxin (TTX) and tetraethylammonium (TEA) could selectively inhibit sodium and potassium conductances, they reasoned that separate proteins most likely underlie these conductances. This was finally confirmed with the biochemical purification

⁴Correspondence: brenowitzs@janelia.hhmi.org; ian.duguid@ed.ac.uk; paul_kammermeier@urmc.rochester.edu
From the Ion Channels collection, edited by Paul J. Kammermeier, Ian Duguid, and Stephan Brenowitz.

© 2017 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press
Cite this introduction as *Cold Spring Harb Protoc*; doi:10.1101/pdb.top092288

of the TTX binding protein (the sodium channel) from electroplax membranes by Agnew and colleagues in 1978.

Along the way, new conductances were discovered, including voltage- and ligand-gated conductances, but confirmation of the idea of voltage and ligand-gated pores formed by distinct proteins would require another technological advance, and this came with the development of the patch clamp technique by Bert Sakmann, Erwin Neher, and colleagues, in which they demonstrated that a very high resistance ($G\Omega$) seal could be formed between a glass micropipette and the plasma membrane of a cell. This allowed the voltage clamp technique to be applied to much smaller cells than had previously been possible. Further, the technique could be applied to small patches of membrane, in some cases containing a single channel protein. Sakmann and Neher received the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine in 1991 for the development of this method, which led to an explosion of discoveries in the areas of pharmacology, physiology, and of course ion channel biophysics. Recording of single ion channel currents using the patch-clamp technique remains the only method in biology in which the function of native individual protein molecules can be monitored in real time.

In the ensuing period, continued improvements and innovations have been made to the patch-clamp technique enabling more discoveries in areas previously thought intractable. These include iterative improvements to amplifier designs allowing more accurate and faster voltage clamp, and a multitude of innovations that have enabled patch-clamp recordings from single neurons in vitro and in vivo, patching of organelles (e.g., nuclear and mitochondrial membranes) and subcellular regions of cells (e.g., synaptic terminals, dendrites, cilia). These innovations have transformed our understanding of the role of ion channels, transporters, and pumps in both excitable and nonexcitable cells providing us with the ability, at least in mammalian experimental animals, to describe almost all cellular conductances at the molecular level.

THE ION CHANNEL SUPERFAMILY

The molecular level description of ion channel function was driven in part by the development of electrophysiological techniques but also by the parallel development of “recombinant DNA technologies” in the late 1970s, whereby individual genes could be reliably isolated, sequenced, purified, and cloned. The rapid development of gene sequencing methods, when combined with electrophysiology, created a way to unravel the true extent of mammalian ion channel diversity and to classify ion channels based upon sequence homology, gating properties, and phylogeny. The predicted amino acid sequences uncovered remarkable sequence homologies across groups of ion channels allowing biophysicists to classify “superfamilies” of homologous channel proteins that presumably evolved from common ancestral channels. Although recombinant DNA technologies were being developed in the late 1970s, it was not until the early 1980s that Masaharu Noda and colleagues first managed to clone, sequence, and describe the primary structure of the α -subunit precursor of the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR, 1982) and voltage-gated sodium channel (1984) from the electric ray (*Torpedo californica*) and eel (*Electrophorus electricus*), respectively (Noda et al. 1982, 1984). This technical and conceptual enlightenment led to an explosion of interest in unraveling ion channel molecular diversity and to the classification of the two main ion channel superfamilies, voltage- and ligand-gated ion channels.

Voltage-gated ion channels, so called because of the requirement for a change in membrane potential to initiate “gating” or opening of the channel, were found to be tetrameric channels built from four homologous modules comprising a voltage sensor domain and pore-forming domain. Prominent among these are sodium, potassium, and calcium channels that underpin the action potential and calcium signaling cascades present in almost all electrically excitable cells. The biophysical properties and methods with which to isolate voltage-dependent conductances are described in detail in other articles in this collection. In addition to the more prototypic voltage-gated ion channels, several other phylogenetically related ion channels, such as cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG)

and transient receptor potential (TRP) channels, were identified due to their weak voltage dependence and retention of a voltage-sensing domain. In parallel, molecular identification and classification of ligand-gated ion channels, so called because of their requirement for extracellular ligand-binding to initiate a conformational change and opening of the ion channel pore, highlighted the presence of several families of ion channels gated by extracellular ligands. Prominent among these are ionotropic glutamate receptors (i.e., AMPA, Kainate, NMDA), cysteine-loop channels (i.e., Ach, GABA, glycine, 5-HT), ATP-gated channels (i.e., P2X) and phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP₂)-gated channels. As the molecular identification of membrane channels gained pace through the 1980s and 1990s, the presence of additional, smaller families of ion channels such as calcium- or light-activated, cyclic nucleotide-gated, and mechanosensitive ion channels added to the increasingly diverse range of membrane proteins expressed by electrically excitable cells. The challenge that faced scientists of the time was to be able to unravel the complex biophysical mechanisms that link ion channel structure to physiological function.

An important feature of developing recombinant DNA technologies became the ability to apply site-directed mutagenesis to directly manipulate the amino acid sequence of a protein to establish a link between structure and function. The application of site-directed mutagenesis led to a number of groundbreaking discoveries including the mechanism of inactivation of Shaker potassium channels (Hoshi et al. 1991), identification of the region of the sodium channel forming the inactivation gate (Stühmer et al. 1989), pore-forming region of the potassium channel (Yellen et al. 1991) and an early description of the conformational change leading to “voltage sensing” in the Shaker potassium channel (Papazian et al. 1995; Larsson et al. 1996; Smith-Maxwell et al. 1998). This powerful genetic manipulation technique combined with advanced single cell electrophysiology heralded a new era for ion channel biophysics.

In parallel to the rapid expansion of recombinant DNA technologies, three-dimensional protein modeling and X-ray crystallography became an invaluable tool in the quest to understand how the amino acid sequence and predicted 3D secondary and tertiary protein structure related to biophysical characteristics of the ion channel. A paradigm shift in our understating of ion channel structure and function came in the early 1990s where Roderick MacKinnon became the first person to crystallize and analyze a potassium channel from the bacterium *Streptomyces lividans* (Doyle et al. 1998; MacKinnon et al. 1998), for which he later received the Nobel Prize in Chemistry in 2003. This powerful approach allowed scientists to visualize the structure of membrane bound proteins at an unprecedented level of resolution, forming 3D models of how sequences of amino acids interact to form a functional ion channel. This novel approach, when combined with emerging recombinant DNA technologies and single cell electrophysiology, generated a new vista of ion channel biophysics that has shaped the way we think about ion channel structure–function relationships to the present day.

RECOMBINANT CHANNEL TECHNOLOGY DRIVES MODERN NEUROBIOLOGY

Knowledge of the protein sequence, molecular structure, and gating behavior of ion channels has driven the development of an array of new methods directed toward understanding their biological function at the cellular, circuit, and behavioral levels. Many of the new approaches to understanding ion channel function rely on the use of light to achieve exquisite spatiotemporal control of channel gating when compared with pharmacological methods. Optical techniques for activating ion channels can be classified into several general approaches: (1) uncaging, the use of light to activate a chemically inert form of an ion channel ligand; (2) photoactivation, the direct activation of a photosensitive conductance such as channelrhodopsin; and (3) photoswitching, the use of light to isomerize a tethered ligand or channel blocker. Progress in each of these areas has resulted from both improvements in optical substrates resulting from molecular engineering and developments in microscopy, illumination, and imaging techniques.

An example of an early method for experimentally controlling ion channel activity is optical “uncaging” of glutamate to activate ionotropic glutamate receptors (Ellis-Davies 2007; Delaney and Shahrezaei 2013). The technique of uncaging requires synthesis of a chemically inert (“caged”) form of a neurotransmitter, which upon exposure to light of the appropriate wavelengths (often in the UV portion of the spectrum) releases the biologically active form of the transmitter. Photolytic conversion of the caged to active form of the neurotransmitter can be achieved with brief light pulses (on the order of milliseconds) allowing the ligand to rapidly diffuse and activate its target receptor. Even greater spatial resolution can be achieved using two-photon activation of caged glutamate, which releases glutamate in a diffraction limited point ($\sim 1 \mu\text{m}^3$) and can activate glutamate receptors on individually targeted dendritic spines in a manner similar to vesicular release of glutamate (Carter and Sabatini 2004; Svoboda and Yasuda 2006). Glutamate uncaging can be applied to many questions such as determining whether functional glutamate receptors are present on specific target neurons as well as their subcellular distribution, and characterizing the gating properties and kinetics of individual receptors. In addition to glutamate, many other neurotransmitters have been synthesized in a chemically caged form and are commercially available, such as GABA, serotonin, dopamine, and noradrenaline to name but a few.

Another method that has been highly influential in recent years is the optical activation of a cation conductance through activation of the algal photoreceptor channelrhodopsin (ChR2). When this light-gated ion channel is expressed in neurons, its activation produces a depolarizing current that can evoke action potentials. Numerous efforts have been made to increase the conductance and improve the kinetics of ChR2. Since the initial cloning of this receptor (Nagel et al. 2003) it has been widely adopted as a powerful tool for cell type-specific activation. This allows far greater resolution for mapping neural circuits (Petreanu et al. 2007) and the locations of synaptic inputs onto specific target neurons (Petreanu et al. 2009) when compared with electrical stimulation methods. The ability to suppress neuronal activity is also important for determining the roles of specific neurons in circuit function or disease. This has been achieved by optogenetic means by two main classes of protein, an inward chloride pump (halorhodopsin) and an outward proton pump (archaerhodopsin) (Chow et al. 2012).

Although optogenetic photoactivation has been enormously successful in determining circuit-level function, little information can be obtained when using this approach to define the roles of specific ion channels in cellular behavior. For this reason, development of photoswitchable tethered ligands has been a powerful and flexible tool for controlling the activation of specific types of ion channels with spatial, temporal, and molecular specificity (Szobota et al. 2007; Kramer et al. 2013). Several classes of ion channels have been rendered light-sensitive by attaching a channel blocker, such as a quaternary ammonium ion, to a linker such as azobenzene that undergoes a wavelength-dependent conformational change. This linker binds to a cysteine residue inserted near the pore of the Shaker potassium channel. At rest, or in the dark, this azobenzene group remains in the *trans* conformation and the pore is blocked by ammonium. Exposure to 380 nm light induces a change to the shorter *cis* conformation, and the ammonium ion is moved away from the pore, restoring conduction. This optically induced conformational change occurs on a submicrosecond time scale and can be reversed over many cycles. The ability to use light to control activation of ion channels allows far greater spatial and temporal specificity than conventional pharmacological methods.

Application of these widely diverse and sophisticated techniques has arisen to a large extent from the study of ion channels. A direct link can be traced from methods like optogenetics and its emerging dominant role in the broader fields of neuroscience and other arenas in biology, back to the tools initially developed to study channels and to discoveries of channels themselves. Further, analysis of single protein conformational changes in real time obtained with fluorescence techniques such as Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) may be informed by the development of methods used to study single ion channels. In many ways, all of these techniques owe some debt to those original studies by Marmont, Cole, Hodgkin, and Huxley, and to the many scientists who followed them.

REFERENCES

- Agnew WS, Levinson SR, Brabson JS, Raftery MA. 1978. Purification of the tetrodotoxin-binding component associated with the voltage-sensitive sodium channel from *Electrophorus electricus* electroplax membranes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 75: 2606–2610.
- Carter AG, Sabatini BL. 2004. State-dependent calcium signaling in dendritic spines of striatal medium spiny neurons. *Neuron* 44: 483–493.
- Chow BY, Han X, Boyden ES. 2012. Genetically encoded molecular tools for light-driven silencing of targeted neurons. *Prog Brain Res* 196: 49–61.
- Delaney KR, Shahrezaei V. 2013. Uncaging calcium in neurons. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* 2013: 1115–1124.
- Doyle DA, Morais Cabral J, Pfuetzner RA, Kuo A, Gulbis JM, Cohen SL, Chait BT, MacKinnon R. 1998. The structure of the potassium channel: Molecular basis of K⁺ conduction and selectivity. *Science* 280: 69–77.
- Ellis-Davies GC. 2007. Caged compounds: Photorelease technology for control of cellular chemistry and physiology. *Nat Methods* 4: 619–628.
- Hoshi T, Zagotta WN, Aldrich RW. 1991. Two types of inactivation in Shaker K⁺ channels: Effects of alterations in the carboxy-terminal region. *Neuron* 7: 547–556.
- Kramer RH, Mourou A, Adesnik H. 2013. Optogenetic pharmacology for control of native neuronal signaling proteins. *Nat Neurosci* 16: 816–823.
- Larsson HP, Baker OS, Dhillon DS, Isacoff EY. 1996. Transmembrane movement of the Shaker K⁺ channel S4. *Neuron* 16: 387–397.
- MacKinnon R, Cohen SL, Kuo A, Lee A, Chait BT. 1998. Structural conservation in prokaryotic and eukaryotic potassium channels. *Science* 280: 106–109.
- Nagel G, Szellas T, Huhn W, Kateriya S, Adeishvili N, Berthold P, Ollig D, Hegemann P, Bamberg E. 2003. Channelrhodopsin-2, a directly light-gated cation-selective membrane channel. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 100: 13940–13945.
- Noda M, Takahashi H, Tanabe T, Toyosato M, Furutani Y, Hirose T, Asai M, Inayama S, Miyata T, Numa S. 1982. Primary structure of α -subunit precursor of *Torpedo californica* acetylcholine receptor deduced from cDNA sequence. *Nature* 299: 793–797.
- Noda M, Shimizu S, Tanabe T, Takai T, Kayano T, Ikeda T, Takahashi H, Nakayama H, Kanaoka Y, Minamino N, et al. 1984. Primary structure of *Electrophorus electricus* sodium channel deduced from cDNA sequence. *Nature* 312: 121–127.
- Papazian DM, Shao XM, Seoh SA, Mock AF, Huang Y, Wainstock DH. 1995. Electrostatic interactions of S4 voltage sensor in Shaker K⁺ channel. *Neuron* 14: 1293–1301.
- Petreaun L, Huber D, Sobczyk A, Svoboda K. 2007. Channelrhodopsin-2-assisted circuit mapping of long-range callosal projections. *Nat Neurosci* 10: 663–668.
- Petreaun L, Mao T, Sternson SM, Svoboda K. 2009. The subcellular organization of neocortical excitatory connections. *Nature* 457: 1142–1145.
- Smith-Maxwell CJ, Ledwell JL, Aldrich RW. 1998. Role of the S4 in cooperativity of voltage-dependent potassium channel activation. *J Gen Physiol* 111: 399–420.
- Stühmer W, Conti F, Suzuki H, Wang XD, Noda M, Yahagi N, Kubo H, Numa S. 1989. Structural parts involved in activation and inactivation of the sodium channel. *Nature* 339: 597–603.
- Svoboda K, Yasuda R. 2006. Principles of two-photon excitation microscopy and its applications to neuroscience. *Neuron* 50: 823–839.
- Szobota S, Gorostiza P, Del Bene F, Wyart C, Fortin DL, Kolstad KD, Tulyathan O, Volgraf M, Numano R, Aaron HL, et al. 2007. Remote control of neuronal activity with a light-gated glutamate receptor. *Neuron* 54: 535–545.
- Yellen G, Jurman ME, Abramson T, MacKinnon R. 1991. Mutations affecting internal TEA blockade identify the probable pore-forming region of a K⁺ channel. *Science* 251: 939–942.



Cold Spring Harbor Protocols

Ion Channels: History, Diversity, and Impact

Stephan Brenowitz, Ian Duguid and Paul J. Kammermeier

Cold Spring Harb Protoc; doi: 10.1101/pdb.top092288

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - [click here](#).

Subject Categories

Browse articles on similar topics from *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*.

[Cell Biology, general](#) (1381 articles)
[Neuroscience, general](#) (327 articles)

To subscribe to *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols* go to:
<http://cshprotocols.cshlp.org/subscriptions>
